

## 6.0 LITERATURE CITED

- 1  
2 Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. 2003. Official stenographers report before the Federal  
3 Energy Regulatory Commission in the matter of Upper North Fork Feather River  
4 Hydroelectric Project, Docket No. P-2105-089, Chico, CA, May 21, 2003.  
5 Prepared by Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc., Washington, DC. 57 pp. + index.
- 6 Baker, C. and T. Bakic. 2001. National Register of Historic Places Evaluation, Upper  
7 North Fork Feather River Hydroelectric System, FERC 2105. Plumas County,  
8 California. Report produced for PG&E by PAR Environmental Services, Inc.,  
9 Sacramento, CA.
- 10 Banci, V. 1994. Wolverine. pp. 99–127. *In: The Scientific Basis for Conserving*  
11 *Forest Carnivores: American Marten, Fisher, Lynx and Wolverine in the Western*  
12 *United States.* Ruggiero, L.F., Aubry, K.B., Buskirk, S.W., Lyon, L.J. and W.J.  
13 Zielinski (eds). USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. GTR-  
14 RM-254. Fort Collins, CO.
- 15 Bartholomew, J.L., Rohovec, J.S., and Fryer, J.L. 1989. *Ceratomyxa Shasta*, a  
16 *Mxyosporean Parasite of Salmonids.* Fish Disease Leaflet 80. United States Fish  
17 and Wildlife Service, National fisheries Research Center.
- 18 Bartholomew, J.L. 2001. Salmonid ceratomyxosis. *In: ed. J. Thoesen, Suggested*  
19 *Procedures for the Detection and Identification of Certain Finfish and Shellfish*  
20 *Pathogens.* Blue Book 4th Edition. Fish Health Section, American Fisheries  
21 Society.
- 22 Behnke, R.J. 1992. Native trout of western North America. American Fisheries Society  
23 Monograph 6.
- 24 Bovee, K.D. 1982. A guide to stream habitat analysis using the Instream Flow  
25 Incremental Methodology. Instream Flow Information Paper 12. U. S.  
26 Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological  
27 Services. FWS/OBS-82/26. 248pp.
- 28 Bovee, K.D., B.L. Lamb, J.M. Bartholow, C.B. Stalnaker, J. Taylor, and J. Henriksen.  
29 1998. Stream Habitat Analysis Using the Instream Flow Incremental  
30 Methodology. U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division  
31 Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD-1998-0004. viii+131 pp.
- 32 Brickley, M., and C. Blount. 2002. Native American Traditional Cultural Properties  
33 Identification and Description, Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC  
34 No. 2015). Report produced for PG&E by Albion Environmental, Inc., Santa  
35 Cruz, CA.

1 Carlson, R.E. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*  
2 22(2): 361-369.

3 CDFA (State of California Department of Food and Agriculture). 2002. Pest ratings of  
4 noxious weed species and noxious weed seed web page.  
5 [www.pi.cdfa.ca.gov/weedinfo/sortbyrating2](http://www.pi.cdfa.ca.gov/weedinfo/sortbyrating2), accessed September 30, 2002. State  
6 of California Department of Food and Agriculture, Division of Plant Health and  
7 Pest Prevention Services.

8 CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 2002a. Special animals. California  
9 Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch,  
10 <http://www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/index.html> accessed January 8, 2004. California  
11 Natural Diversity Database, Sacramento, CA.

12 CDFG. 2002b. Bald eagles in California web page.  
13 [www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/species/t\\_e\\_spp/tebird/bald\\_eagle.shtml](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/species/t_e_spp/tebird/bald_eagle.shtml), accessed January  
14 8, 2004. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, CA.

15 CDFG. 2002c. The Status of Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Animals and Plants of  
16 California, Bald Eagle. California Department of Fish and Game, 2000 web page.  
17 [http://www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/cgi-](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/cgi-bin/more_info.asp?idKey=ssc_tespp&specy=birds&query=Haliaeetus%20leucocephalus)  
18 [bin/more\\_info.asp?idKey=ssc\\_tespp&specy=birds&query=Haliaeetus%20leucoce-](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/cgi-bin/more_info.asp?idKey=ssc_tespp&specy=birds&query=Haliaeetus%20leucocephalus)  
19 [phalus](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/hcpb/cgi-bin/more_info.asp?idKey=ssc_tespp&specy=birds&query=Haliaeetus%20leucocephalus), accessed January 22, 2004. California Department of Fish and Game,  
20 Sacramento, CA.

21 CDHS (California Department of Health Services). 2002. *Incomplete Blue Book*  
22 regulations only. March 11, 2002. California Division of Drinking Water and  
23 Environmental Management web page.  
24 <http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/ddwem/publications/Regulations/Bluebook3-11-02.pdf>,  
25 accessed December 22, 2003.

26 Clifford, E., J. Clifford, and PG&E. 1957. Clifford Deed. Signed August 15, 1957.  
27 2pp.

28 Coleman, C. 1952. PG&E: the Centennial Story of Pacific Gas and Electric Company,  
29 1852-1952. McGraw Hill, New York, NY.

30 Compas, L. 2003. Amendment to Cultural Resources Inventory for the Pacific Gas and  
31 Electric Company Upper North Fork Feather River FERC Relicensing Project,  
32 Additional Potential Recreation Areas, Plumas County, California (FERC #2105).  
33 Report produced for PG&E by PAR Environmental Services, Inc., Sacramento,  
34 CA.

- 1 **Compas, L. 2002. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Pacific Gas and Electric**  
2 **Company Upper North Fork Feather River FERC Relicensing Project, Plumas**  
3 **County, California (FERC #2105): Additional Survey at Lake Almanor. Report**  
4 **produced for PG&E by PAR Environmental Services, Inc., Sacramento, CA.**
- 5 **Compas, L. 2001. Cultural Resources Inventory for the Pacific Gas and Electric**  
6 **Company Upper North Fork Feather River FERC Relicensing Project, Plumas**  
7 **County, California (FERC #2105). Report produced for PG&E by PAR**  
8 **Environmental Services, Inc., Sacramento, CA.**
- 9 **Crew, H. 1981. The Limited Archaeological Testing Program and Evaluation of sites**  
10 **CA-PLU-115 and CA-PLU-119, Buck's Lake, Plumas County, California. Report**  
11 **produced for PG&E by SAI, Boulder, CO.**
- 12 **CVRWQCB (Central Valley Region, California Regional Water Quality Control Board).**  
13 **1998. The water quality control plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional**  
14 **Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, The Sacramento River Basin**  
15 **and the San Joaquin River Basin, Fourth Edition - 1998. Central Valley Regional**  
16 **Water Quality Control Board web page.**  
17 **[http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/available\\_documents/basin\\_plans/bsnplnab.pdf](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/available_documents/basin_plans/bsnplnab.pdf),**  
18 **updated September 9, 2003, accessed September 9, 2003. Central Valley Regional**  
19 **Water Quality Control Board.**
- 20 **Dixon, R. 1905. The Northern Maidu. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural**  
21 **History 17(3).**
- 22 **DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 1979. Hydroelectric Power Evaluation.**  
23 **(DOE/FERC 0031). U.S. Department of Energy, Federal Energy Regulatory**  
24 **Commission, Office of Electric Power Regulation, Washington, DC. August**  
25 **1979.**
- 26 **EA (EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc.). 2001. Upper North Fork Feather**  
27 **River Project (FERC No. 2105) – 2000 Angler Creel Survey. December 2001.**  
28 **Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Technical and Ecological**  
29 **Services, San Ramon, California.**
- 30 **ECORP (ECORP Consulting, Inc.). 2002. Fish Species Composition and Abundance in**  
31 **the Upper North Fork Feather River Project Streams and Reservoirs, 2000 and**  
32 **2001. October 2, 2002. Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Company,**  
33 **Technical and Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.**

- 1 ECORP Consulting, Inc. 2003a. Fish Species Composition and Abundance in the Upper  
2 North Fork Feather River Project Streams and Reservoirs, 2000, 2001 and 2002.  
3 July 17, 2003. Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Technical and  
4 Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.
- 5 ECORP Consulting, Inc. 2003b. Fish Passage Report, 2002 – Upper North Fork Feather  
6 River Project (FERC No. 2105). July 17, 2003. Final Report to Pacific Gas and  
7 Electric Company, Technical and Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.
- 8 ECORP Consulting, Inc. 2002a. Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC 2105) -  
9 Entrainment Sampling Evaluation at Belden, Caribou 1, Caribou 2, and Butt  
10 Valley Powerhouses, 2000 & 2001. March 25, 2002. Final Report to Pacific Gas  
11 and Electric Company, Technical and Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.
- 12 ECORP Consulting, Inc. 2002b. Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC 2105) -  
13 Macroinvertebrate Drift Study, Fall 2000. March 25, 2002. Final Report to  
14 Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Technical and Ecological Services, San  
15 Ramon, CA.
- 16 Entrix, Inc. 2002. Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC No. 2105)  
17 Geomorphic Study. March 2002. Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric  
18 Company, Technical and Ecological Services, San Ramon, California.
- 19 EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1996a. The metals translator: Guidance  
20 for calculating a total recoverable permit limit from a dissolved criterion. U.S.  
21 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. EPA 823-B-96-007. June  
22 1996. 60 pp.
- 23 EPA. 2000. 40 CFR Part 131, Water quality standards; establishment of numeric criteria  
24 for priority toxic pollutants for the State of California; Rule. May 18, 2000. EPA  
25 web page, <http://www.epa.gov/OST/standards/ctr/toxic.pdf>, updated August 12,  
26 2002, accessed April 25, 2003.
- 27 EPA. 2002. National recommended water quality criteria: 2002. November 2002. 33  
28 pp. EPA, Water Science, Publications web page,  
29 <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/pc/revcom.pdf>, updated December 26, 2003,  
30 accessed December 26, 2003.
- 31 ePodunk. Undated. ePodunk web page. [www.epodunk.com](http://www.epodunk.com).
- 32 ERC (Ecological Resources Committee). 2004. Rock Creek – Cresta Project FERC No.  
33 1962 – Annual Report on 2003 Operation and Monitoring License Condition 22  
34 and Annual Water Temperature Monitoring Report License Condition 4.C. May  
35 28, 2004.

- 1 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 2004. Environmental Impact  
2 Statement for the Pit 3,4,5 Project.
- 3 FERC. 2003. Project No. 1962-064, Order modifying and approving Water Temperature  
4 Monitoring Plan under Article 401. February 28. 9 pp.
- 5 FERC and Plumas National Forest. 2001. Environmental assessment for hydropower  
6 license, Rock Creek-Cresta Hydroelectric Project, FERC Project No. 1962,  
7 California. Federal Regulatory Commission, Office of Energy Projects,  
8 Washington, DC, and USDA Forest Service, Plumas National Forest, Quincy, CA.  
9 137 pp. plus attachment. May 30, 2001.
- 10 FS. 1992. Land and Resource Management Plan, Lassen National Forest.  
11 <http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/lassen/projects>, accessed January 13, 2004. U.S.  
12 Department of Agriculture, Forest Service webpage.
- 13 FS. 1988. Land and Resource Management Plan, Plumas National Forest  
14 <http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/plumas/projects>, accessed January 13, 2004. U.S.  
15 Department of Agriculture, Forest Service webpage.
- 16 FWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2003. American peregrine falcon proposed  
17 monitoring plan web page. [www.arizonaes.fws.gov/american\\_peregrine\\_falcon.htm](http://www.arizonaes.fws.gov/american_peregrine_falcon.htm),  
18 accessed January 5, 2004. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Garcia and Associates  
19 (GANDA). 2000. Special status plant and noxious weed survey for the Upper  
20 North Fork Feather River Project. Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric  
21 Company, by Garcia and Associates, San Anselmo, CA. November.
- 22 FWS. 2001. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; final determinations of  
23 critical habitat for the California red-legged frog; final rule. Federal Register  
24 66:14625-14674. March 13, 2001.
- 25 FWS. 1999. Conservation Guidelines for the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle. U.S.  
26 Fish and Wildlife Service, Sacramento, CA.
- 27 FWS. 1997. Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for California Red-legged  
28 Frogs. Appendix: California Red-legged Frog Ecology and Distribution.  
29 Sacramento, CA.
- 30 FWS. 1996. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; determination of threatened  
31 status for the California red-legged frog. Federal Register 61:25813-25833. May  
32 23, 1996.

- 1 GANDA (Garcia and Associates). 2000. Special status plant and noxious weed survey  
2 for the Upper North Fork Feather River Project. Prepared for Pacific Gas and  
3 Electric Company, by Garcia and Associates, San Anselmo, CA. November,  
4 2000.
- 5 GANDA. 2002. Results of 2001 surveys for northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*),  
6 cascades frog (*Rana cascadae*), foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*),  
7 mountain yellow-legged frog (*Rana muscosa*), California red-legged frog (*Rana*  
8 *aurora draytonii*), and western pond turtle (*Clemmys marmorata*) within the  
9 Upper North Fork Feather River Project Area. Prepared for Pacific Gas and  
10 Electric Company, by Garcia and Associates, San Anselmo, CA. March, 2002.
- 11 Golightly, R.T., Jr. 1997. Fisher (*Martes pennanti*): Ecology, conservation and  
12 management. *In: Mesocarnivores of Northern California: Biology, Management,*  
13 *and Survey Techniques, Workshop Manual.* J.E. Harris, and C.V. Ogan, (eds).  
14 Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, and the Wildlife Society, California North  
15 Coast Chapter, Arcata, CA. August 12–15, 1997.
- 16 Gore, J.A., J.B. Layzer, and J. Mead. 2001. Macroinvertebrate instream flow studies  
17 after 20 years: a role in stream management and restoration. *Regulated Rivers:*  
18 *Research & Management* Volume 17, Issue 4-5, July - October 2001. pp. 527-  
19 542.
- 20 Hayes, M.P., and M.R. Jennings. 1988. Habitat correlates of distribution of the  
21 California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*) and the foothill yellow-legged  
22 frog (*Rana boylei*): implications for management. pp. 144-158. *In: Proceedings*  
23 *of the symposium on the management of amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals*  
24 *in North America.* R. Sarzo, K.E. Severson, and D.R. Patton (technical  
25 coordinators). USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-166.
- 26 Hickman, J.C. 1993. *The Jepson manual: Higher plants of California.* University of  
27 California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- 28 Howe, P.D. and S. Dobson. 2002. Silver and silver compounds: environmental aspects,  
29 Concise International Chemical Assessment Document (CICAD) 44 web page.  
30 <http://www.inchem.org/documents/cicads/cicads/cicad44.htm>, updated unknown,  
31 accessed on April 5, 2004. International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS).
- 32 HTI (Hydroacoustic Technology, Inc.). 2002. Hydroacoustic Evaluations of Fish  
33 Entrainment at Four Powerhouse Intakes on the Upper North Fork Feather River  
34 Project (FERC 2105). March 26, 2002. Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric  
35 Company, Technical and Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.
- 36 InfoUSA. 2002. InfoUSA web page. [www.calmis.ca.gov/file](http://www.calmis.ca.gov/file).

- 1 Jackman, R.E., W.G. Hunt, J.M. Jenkins, and P.J. Detrich. 1999. Prey of inland  
2 nesting bald eagles in California. *Journal of Raptor Research* 33:87–96.
- 3 Jennings, M.R. 1996. Status of amphibians. Chapter 31. In: *Sierra Nevada Ecosystem*  
4 *Project, Final Report to Congress. Volume II. Centers for Water and Wildland*  
5 *Resources, University of California, Davis, CA.*
- 6 Johnsgard, P.A. 1990. *Hawks, eagles and falcons of North America: Biology and*  
7 *natural history. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.*
- 8 Johnson, E. and K. Newman, editors. 1956. *The Big Meadow Indians. Manuscript No.*  
9 *233, University of California Archaeological Survey. Phoebe A. Hearst Museum*  
10 *of Anthropology, Berkeley.*
- 11 Kowta, M. 1980. *Late Prehistoric Mortuary Complex from Lake Almanor, Plumas*  
12 *County, California. Report produced for PG&E.*
- 13 Kowta, M. 1974. *Report of Archaeological Field Reconnaissance of the Lake Almanor*  
14 *Shoreline. Report on file, California Historical Resources Information System,*  
15 *Northeast Information Center, California State University at Chico.*
- 16 Lind, A.J., H.H. Welsh, Jr. and R.A. Wilson. 1996. The effects of a dam on breeding  
17 habitat and egg survival of the foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*) in  
18 northwestern California. *Herpetological Review* 27(2):62–67.
- 19 Macdougall, A., and M. Maniery. 1996. *Cultural Resources Inventory of the Butt Valley*  
20 *Dam Seismic Remediation Project, Butt Valley Reservoir, Plumas County,*  
21 *California. Report produced for PG&E by PAR Environmental Services, Inc.,*  
22 *Sacramento.*
- 23 Maniery, M. 2002. *Health, Sanitation, and Diet in a Twentieth-Century Dam*  
24 *Construction Camp: A View from Butt Valley, California. Historical*  
25 *Archaeology* 26(3): 69-84.
- 26 Maniery, M. and C. Baker. 1996. *National Register of Historic Places Evaluation of*  
27 *Lake Almanor Dam, North Fork Feather River Hydroelectric System, Plumas*  
28 *County, California. Report produced for PG&E by PAR Environmental Services,*  
29 *Inc., Sacramento.*
- 30 Maniery, M., and L. Compas. 2002. *National Register of Historic Places Evaluation of*  
31 *37 Historical Archaeological Sites and PSEA Camp Almanor for the Pacific Gas*  
32 *and Electric Company Upper North Fork Feather River FERC Relicensing Project,*  
33 *Plumas County, California (FERC #2105). Report produced for PG&E by PAR*  
34 *Environmental Services, Inc., Sacramento.*

- 1 Marler, B.L. 1992. Weather modification programs at Pacific Gas and Electric  
2 Company. Proceeding, Symposium on planned and inadvertent weather  
3 modification, Atlanta, GA, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA.
- 4 Mathews, S.B. 1965. Reproductive behavior of Sacramento perch, *Archoplites*  
5 *interruptus*. Copeia 1965(2): 224-228.
- 6 Meinzer, O.E. 1927. Large springs in the United States. USGS Water-Supply Paper  
7 557. Washington, DC. 94 pp.
- 8 Milhous, R. T., D. L. Wegner, and T. Waddle. 1984. User's Guide to the Physical  
9 Habitat Simulation System (PHABSIM). Instream Flow Information Paper 11.  
10 United States Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-81/43. 320pp.
- 11 Milhous, R. T., M. A. Updike, and D. M. Schneider. 1989 Physical Habitat  
12 Simulation System Reference Manual- Version II. Instream Flow Information  
13 Paper No. 26. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 89(16).
- 14 Mooney, M.L. and G.W. Lunn. 1969. The area of maximum effect resulting for the  
15 Lake Almanor randomized cloud seeding experiment. Journal of Applied  
16 Meteorology, Vol. 8, No. 1, 68-74.
- 17 Moratto, M. 1984. California Archaeology. Academic Press, Orlando.
- 18 Moyle, P.B. 2002. Inland Fishes of California - Revised and Expanded. University of  
19 California Press, Berkeley.
- 20 Pacific Health Consulting Group LLC. 2000. Plumas Communities Vision 2020:  
21 Background Data Report. Quincy, California. Revised October 25, 2000.
- 22 PG&E (Pacific Gas and Electric Company). 2004. Project 2105 Relicensing Settlement  
23 Agreement. Upper North Fork Feather River Project, FERC Project No. 2105.  
24 April 22.
- 25 PG&E. 2003a. Response to FERC additional information request issued June 23, 2003.  
26 Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC No. 1962-089[INCORRECTLY  
27 numbered as such in response to AIR]), submitted July, 21, 2003.
- 28 PG&E. 2003b. Rock Creek-Cresta Project, FERC Project No. 1962, Water Temperature  
29 Monitoring of 2002, FERC License Condition No. 4C. May 21, 2003.
- 30 PG&E. 2003c. Response to FERC additional information request issued June 23, 2003.  
31 Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC No. 2105), submitted August 21,  
32 2003.



- 1 PG&E. 2003d. Recreation Streamflow and Pulse Flow Biological Evaluation Study  
2 Plan, Rock Creek-Cresta Hydroelectric Project FERC 1962.
- 3 PG&E. 2002a. Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC No. 2105) – Application  
4 for New License. October 23.
- 5 PG&E. 2002b. Rock Creek-Cresta Project, FERC Project No. 1962, Water Temperature  
6 Management Plan, FERC License Condition No. 4C. October 22, 2002.
- 7 PG&E. 2002c. Upper North Fork Feather River Hydroelectric relicensing project, FERC  
8 No. 2105, Shoreline management plan. Prepared by Foster Wheeler  
9 Environmental Corporation. Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San  
10 Francisco, CA. October 15.
- 11 PG&E. 2000a. Rock Creek–Cresta Project, FERC Project No. 1962, Rock Creek-Cresta  
12 relicensing settlement agreement. September 18, 2000 signature copy variously  
13 paged. FERC FERRIS web site, accessed on May 22, 2003.
- 14 PG&E. 2000b. Project No. 1962 Rock Creek-Cresta relicensing settlement agreement  
15 rationale document. November 28, 2000.
- 16 PG&E. 1984. Lake Almanor Upper North Fork Feather River Temperature and Water  
17 Quality Investigation. Report 026.11-82.7.
- 18 PG&E and Plumas National Forest. 1998. Pacific Gas and Electric and Plumas National  
19 Forest road maintenance agreement. Agreement No. PNF-RD MTNC AGRMT-9-  
20 98-11-011. variously paged.
- 21 Piper, R.G., I.B. McElwain, L.E. Orme, J.P. McCraren, L.G. Fowler, and J.R.  
22 Leonard. 1982. Fish hatchery management. United States Department of the  
23 Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington, DC. 517 pp.
- 24 Plumas Corporation. 2002. Plumas County Economic Development Strategy 2002-  
25 2003. Quincy, California. October 22, 2002.
- 26 Plumas Corporation. 1996. Tourist Action Plan of 1995. Cited in Pacific Health  
27 Consulting Group LLC, 2000.
- 28 Powell, R.J. and W.J. Zielinski, 1994. Fisher. pp. 38-73. In: The Scientific Basis for  
29 Conserving Forest Carnivores: American Marten, Fisher, Lynx and Wolverine in  
30 the Western United States. L.F. Ruggiero, K.B. Aubry, S.W. Buskirk,, L.J.  
31 Lyon, and W.J. Zielinski (eds). USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research  
32 Station. GTR-RM-254. Fort Collins, CO.

- 1 RHJV (Riparian Habitat Joint Venture). 2000. The riparian bird conservation plan: a  
2 strategy for reversing the decline of riparian associated birds in California.  
3 California Partners in Flight and The Riparian Habitat Joint Venture. Version 1.0.  
4 August 2000.
- 5 Riddeli, F. 1978. Maidu and Konkow. In Handbook of North American Indians,  
6 California, R. Heizer volume editor, 8: 370-386. Smithsonian Institution,  
7 Washington DC.
- 8 Riddell, F., and W. Evans. 1978. Ethnographic Notes on the Honey Lake Maidu.  
9 Nevada State Museum Occasional Papers 3.
- 10 Riddell, F., and F. Fenenga. 1951. Final Report on the Archaeology of Tommy Tucker  
11 Cave. Manuscript No. 62, University of California Archaeological Survey.  
12 Phoebe A. Hearst Museum of Anthropology, Berkeley.
- 13 Robison, H. W. and T. M. Buchanan. 1988. Fishes of Arkansas. The University of  
14 Arkansas Press.
- 15 Sheffield, Emilyn and Bob Warren. Undated. Strategic Marketing Plan for Northern  
16 California Tourism and Outdoor Recreation: A Sourcebook for Tourism and  
17 Recreation Planners. Cited in Pacific Health Consulting Group LLC, 2000.
- 18 Shoup, L., and D. Cornford. 1987. The Caribou 1 Powerhouse: An Historic Overview  
19 and National Register of Historic Places Evaluation. Report produced for PG&E.
- 20 Small, A. 1974. The birds of California. Winchester Press, New York, NY.
- 21 Spring Rivers (Spring Rivers Ecological Sciences). 2002. Aquatic Mollusc Surveys for  
22 Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Upper North Fork Feather River Project  
23 (FERC No.2105).
- 24 SWRCB (California State Water Resources Control Board). 2003. Downloadable files  
25 for 2002 CWA Section 303(d) list of water quality limited segments.  
26 [http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/docs/2002\\_303d\\_listofwaterqualitylimitedsegments](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/docs/2002_303d_listofwaterqualitylimitedsegments)  
27 .xls, updated August 22, 2003, accessed September 9, 2003. California State  
28 Water Resources Control Board.
- 29 Teisch, J. 1999. The Drowning of Big Meadows: Nature's Managers in Progressive-Era  
30 California. Environmental History 4(1): 32-53.
- 31 TRPA (Thomas R. Payne & Associates). 2002a. North Fork Feather River and Butt  
32 Creek Instream Flow Study, Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC No.  
33 2105). March 25, 2002, Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Company,  
34 Technical and Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.

- 1 TRPA. 2002b. Habitat Suitability Criteria for Rainbow Trout and Sacramento Suckers  
2 in the Upper North Fork Feather River Project (FERC No. 2105). March 28,  
3 2002. Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Technical and  
4 Ecological Services, San Ramon, CA.
- 5 U. S. Census Bureau. 2003a. Bearfacts web page. [www.bea.gov/bea/regional/bearfacts](http://www.bea.gov/bea/regional/bearfacts),  
6 updated May 6, 2003.
- 7 U. S. Census Bureau. 2003b. Fedstats web page.  
8 [www.fedstats.gov/qf/states/06/06063.html](http://www.fedstats.gov/qf/states/06/06063.html), updated May 7, 2003.
- 9 Vehanen, T., P.L. Bjerke, J. Heggenes, A. Huusko, and A. Muki-Petays. 2000. Effect of  
10 fluctuating flow and temperature on cover type selection and behavior by juvenile  
11 brown trout in artificial plumes. *Journal of Fish Biology* 56:923-937.
- 12 WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2004. Bald eagle nesting and  
13 wintering cycle website.  
14 <http://www.wdfw.wa.gov/viewing/wildcam/eaglecam/graphics/chart2.jpg>,  
15 accessed on August 10, 2004.
- 16 Weatherbase. 2003. All data for Chester, California. <http://www.weatherbase.com>,  
17 updated 2003, accessed on October 6, 2003.
- 18 Wetzel, R.G. 1975. *Limnology*. W.B. Saunders Company. Philadelphia, PA. 743 pp.
- 19 WRECO. 2002. Sediment Incipient Motion Analysis, Seneca Reach and Belden Reach  
20 of the Upper North Fork Feather River and the Lower Butt Creek reach below Butt  
21 Valley Dam. Final Report to Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Technical and  
22 Ecological Services, San Ramon, California.
- 23 Yoshiyama, R.M., E.R. Gerstung, F.W. Fisher, and P.B. Moyle. 2001. Historical and  
24 present distribution of Chinook salmon in the Central Valley drainage of  
25 California. *In Contributions to the Biology of Central Valley Salmonids*. Fish  
26 Bulletin 179. R. L. Brown ed.
- 27 Zeiner, D.C., W.F. Laudenslayer, Jr., K.E. Mayer, and M.White. 1990. California's  
28 Wildlife. Volume III: Mammals. [www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/html/cawildlife.html](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/html/cawildlife.html).

## 7.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

- 1
- 2 John Mudre—FERC Project Coordinator (Ecologist; Ph.D. Fisheries Science)
- 3 Paul Friedman—FERC Cultural Resources (Archaeologist; M.A. History)
- 4 Frankie Green—Task Manager, Recreation, Land Use and Aesthetics Resources
- 5 (Terrestrial Biologist; B.S., Wildlife Biology)
- 6 Scott Ault—Aquatic Resources (Fisheries Scientist; B.S., Biology)
- 7 Sue Davis—Terrestrial Resources and Threatened and Endangered Species (Terrestrial
- 8 Biologist; B.S. Wildlife Management)
- 9 Joe Dembeck - Aquatic Resources (Fisheries Scientist; B.S. Biology, M.S. Water
- 10 Resources Management)
- 11 Alynda Foreman—Terrestrial Resources and Threatened and Endangered Species
- 12 Mark Foreman—Engineering and Water Resources (Managing Consultant; M.B.A.
- 13 Business; M.S. Civil Engineering)
- 14 Ellen Hall—Socioeconomics Resources (Senior Resource Economist; Ph.D. Resource
- 15 Economics; M.A. Agricultural Economics, B.A History/Economics)
- 16 Brian Mattax—Water Quantity and Quality (Aquatic Scientist, B.S., Biology)
- 17 Denise Short—Technical Editor (B.A. English; M.S. Agriculture, Food, and the
- 18 Environment)